Twisted spinors on black holes

Yu. P. Goncharov
Theoretical Group, Experimental Physics Department, State Technical University, Sankt-Petersburg 195251, Russia
(Submitted 1 April 1999)

We remark that the standard black hole topology admits twisted configurations of spinor field due to existence of the twisted spinor bundles and analyse them using the Schwarzschild black hole as an example. This is physically linked with the natural presence of Dirac monopoles on black holes and entails marked modification of the Hawking radiation for spinor particles.

04.20.Jb, 04.70.Dy, 14.80.Hv

1. A few years ago there appeared an interest in studying topologically inequivalent configurations (TICs) of various fields on the 4D black holes [1,2,3,4] since TICs might give marked additional contributions to the quantum effects in the 4D black hole physics, for instance, such as the Hawking radiation [2] and also might help to solve the problem of statistical substantiation of the black hole entropy [3]. So far, however, only TICs of complex scalar field have been studied more or less on the Schwarzschild (SW), Reissner-Nordström (RN) and Kerr black holes. The next physically important case is the one of spinor fields. In the present paper we start studying twisted TICs of spinor field in the form convenient to physical applications, restricting here ourselves to the framework of the SW black hole geometry for the sake of simplicity.

We write down the black hole metric under discussion (using the ordinary set of local coordinates t, r, ϑ, φ) in the form

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu \equiv adt^2 - a^{-1}dr^2 - r^2(d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2\vartheta d\varphi^2) \tag{1}$$

with $a = 1 - r_g/r$, $r_g = 2M$, where M is a black hole mass. Besides we have $|g| = |\det(g_{\mu\nu})| = (r^2\sin\vartheta)^2$ and $r_g \le r < \infty$, $0 \le \vartheta < \pi$, $0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$.

Throughout the paper we employ the system of units with $\hbar = c = G = 1$, unless explicitly stated otherwise. Finally, we shall denote $L_2(F)$ the set of the modulo square integrable complex functions on any manifold F furnished with an integration measure.

2. The existence of spinor field TICs on black holes follows from the fact that over the standard black hole topology $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ there exists only one Spin-structure [conforming to the group Spin(1,3)= SL(2, $\mathbb{C}$)]. Referring for the exact definition of Spin-structure to Refs. [5,6], we here only note that the number of inequivalent Spin-structures for manifold M is equal to the one of elements in $H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, the first cohomology group of M with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2$. In our case $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{Z}_2) = H^1(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ which is equal to 0 and thus there exists the only (trivial) Spin-structure.

On the other hand, the nonisomorphic complex line bundles over M are classified by the elements in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, the second cohomology group of M with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ [1], and in our case this group is equal to $H^2(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and, consequently, the number of complex line bundles is countable. As a result, each complex line bundle can be characterized by an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ which in what follows will be called its Chern number.

Under this situation, if denoting $S(M)$ the only standard spinor bundle over $M = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and ξ the complex line bundle with Chern number n, we can construct tensorial product $S(M) \otimes \xi$. As is known [7], over any noncompact spacetime the bundle $S(M)$ is trivial and, accordingly, the Chern number of 4-dimensional vector bundle $S(M) \otimes \xi$ is equal to n as well. Under the circumstances we obtain the *twisted Dirac operator* $\mathcal{D} : S(M) \otimes \xi \to S(M) \otimes \xi$, so the wave equation for corresponding spinors ψ (with a mass μ_0) as sections of the bundle $S(M) \otimes \xi$ may look as follows

$$\mathcal{D}\psi = \mu_0\psi, \tag{2}$$

and we can call (standard) spinors corresponding to $n = 0$ (trivial complex line bundle ξ) *untwisted* while the rest of the spinors with $n \neq 0$ should be referred to as *twisted*.

From general considerations [5,6,8] the explicit form of the operator $\mathcal{D}$ in local coordinates x^μ on a $2k$-dimensional (pseudo)riemannian manifold can be written as follows

$$\mathcal{D} = i\nabla_\mu \equiv i\gamma^a E_a^\mu(\partial_\mu - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mu ab}\gamma^a\gamma^b - ieA_\mu),\ a < b, \tag{3}$$

where $A = A_\mu dx^\mu$ is a connection in the bundle ξ and the forms $\omega_{ab} = \omega_{\mu ab}dx^\mu$ obey the Cartan structure equations $de^a = \omega^a_{\ b} \wedge e^b$ with exterior derivative d, while the orthonormal basis $e^a = e^a_\mu dx^\mu$ in cotangent bundle and dual basis $E_a = E^\mu_a \partial_\mu$ in tangent bundle are connected by the relations $e^a(E_b) = \delta^a_b$. At last, matrices γ^a represent the Clifford algebra of the corresponding quadratic form in $\mathbb{C}^{2^k}$. Below we shall deal only with 2D euclidean case (quadratic form $Q_2 = x_0^2 + x_1^2$) or with 4D lorentzian case (quadratic form $Q_{1,3} = x_0^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2$). For the latter case we take the following choice for γ^a

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma^b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_b \\ -\sigma_b & 0 \end{pmatrix}, b = 1,2,3\,, \tag{4}$$

where σ_b denote the ordinary Pauli matrices. It should be noted that, in lorentzian case, Greek indices $\mu, \nu, ...$ are raised and lowered with $g_{\mu\nu}$ of (1) or its inverse $g^{\mu\nu}$ and Latin indices $a, b, ...$ are raised and lowered by $\eta_{ab} = \eta^{ab}=$ diag(1,-1,-1,-1), so that $e^a_\mu e^b_\nu g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{ab}$, $E^\mu_a E^\nu_b g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab}$ and so on.

Using the fact that all the mentioned bundles $S(M) \otimes \xi$ can be trivialized over the chart of local coordinates $(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi)$ covering almost the whole manifold $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, we can concretize the wave equation (2) on the given chart for TIC ψ with the Chern number $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the case of metric (1). Namely, we can put $e^0 = \sqrt{a}dt$, $e^1 = dr/\sqrt{a}$, $e^2 = rd\vartheta$, $e^3 = r\sin\vartheta d\varphi$ and, accordingly, $E_0 = \partial_t/\sqrt{a}$, $E_1 = \sqrt{a}\partial_r$, $E_2 = \partial_\vartheta/r$, $E_3 = \partial_\varphi/(r\sin\vartheta)$. This entails

$$\omega_{01} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{da}{dr}dt, \omega_{12} = -\sqrt{a}d\vartheta, \omega_{13} = -\sqrt{a}\sin\vartheta d\varphi, \omega_{23} = -\cos\vartheta d\varphi. \tag{5}$$

As for the connection A_μ in bundle ξ then the suitable one was found in Refs. [1] and is $A = A_\mu dx^\mu = -\frac{n}{e}\cos\vartheta d\varphi$. Then the curvature of the bundle ξ is $F = dA = \frac{n}{e}\sin\vartheta d\vartheta \wedge d\varphi$. We can further introduce the Hodge star operator on 2-forms F of any k-dimensional (pseudo)riemannian manifold B provided with a (pseudo)riemannian metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ by the relation (see, e. g., Ref. [8])

$$F \wedge *F = (g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta} - g^{\mu\beta}g^{\nu\alpha})F_{\mu\nu}F_{\alpha\beta}\sqrt{|g|}\,dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \cdots \wedge dx^k \tag{6}$$

in local coordinates x^μ. In the case of the metric (1) this yields $*F = \frac{n}{er^2}dt \wedge dr$, and integrating over the surface $t = const$, $r = const$ with topology $\mathbb{S}^2$ gives rise to the Dirac charge quantization condition

$$\int_{S^2} F = 4\pi\frac{n}{e} = 4\pi q \tag{7}$$

with magnetic charge q, so we can identify the coupling constant e with electric charge. Besides, the Maxwell equations $dF = 0$, $d * F = 0$ are clearly fulfilled with the exterior differential $d = \partial_t dt + \partial_r dr + \partial_\vartheta d\vartheta + \partial_\varphi d\varphi$ in coordinates t, r, ϑ, φ. We come to the same conlusion that in the case of TICs of complex scalar field [1,2,3,4]: the Dirac magnetic $U(1)$-monopoles naturally live on the black holes as connections in complex line bundles and hence physically the appearance of TICs for spinor field should be obliged to the natural presence of Dirac monopoles on black hole and due to the interaction with them the spinor field splits into TICs. Also it should be emphasized that the total (internal) magnetic charge Q_m of black hole which should be considered as the one summed up over all the monopoles remains equal to zero because

$$Q_m = \frac{1}{e}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} n = 0\,. \tag{8}$$

Returning to the Eq. (2), we can see that with taking into account all the above it has the form

$$[i\gamma^0\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}(\partial_t - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{t01}\gamma^0\gamma^1) + i\gamma^1\sqrt{a}\partial_r + i\gamma^2\frac{1}{r}(\partial_\vartheta - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\vartheta12}\gamma^1\gamma^2) +$$

$$i\gamma^3\frac{1}{r\sin\vartheta}(\partial_\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\varphi13}\gamma^1\gamma^3 - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\varphi23}\gamma^2\gamma^3 + in\cos\vartheta)]\psi = \mu_0\psi\,. \tag{9}$$

After a simple matrix algebra computation with using (4) and (5) we find that Eq.(9) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & -A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mu_0 \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{10}$$

with the operators

$$A = \frac{i}{\sqrt{a}}\partial_t, B = i\sigma_1 B_1 + \frac{1}{r}B_2 , \tag{11}$$

where, in turn,

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d\sqrt{a}}{dr} + \sqrt{a}\partial_r + \frac{\sqrt{a}}{r}, B_2 = i\sigma_2\partial_\vartheta + i\sigma_3\frac{1}{\sin\vartheta}(\partial_\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2\sigma_3\cos\vartheta + in\cos\vartheta) . \tag{12}$$

Now we can use the ansatz $\psi_1 = e^{i\omega t}r^{-1}F_1(r)\Phi(\vartheta,\varphi)$, $\psi_2 = e^{i\omega t}r^{-1}F_2(r)\sigma_1\Phi(\vartheta,\varphi)$ with a 2D spinor $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ in order with the help (10)–(12) to get

$$(B_1 + \frac{1}{r}D_n)\psi_1 = i(\mu_0 - c)\sigma_1\psi_2,$$

$$(B_1 + \frac{1}{r}D_n)\psi_2 = -i(\mu_0 + c)\sigma_1\psi_1 \tag{13}$$

with $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}\omega$ and $D_n = -i\sigma_1 B_2$. It is natural to take Φ as an eigenspinor of the operator D_n and noting that $\sigma_1 D_n = -D_n\sigma_1$ we can from (13) obtain the system

$$\sqrt{a}\partial_r F_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\sqrt{a}}{dr} + \frac{\lambda}{r}\right)F_1 = i(\mu_0 - c)F_2,$$

$$\sqrt{a}\partial_r F_2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\sqrt{a}}{dr} - \frac{\lambda}{r}\right)F_2 = -i(\mu_0 + c)F_1 \tag{14}$$

with an eigenvalue λ of the operator D_n. We should, therefore, explore the equation $D_n\Phi = \lambda\Phi$.

3. As is not complicated to see, the operator D_n has the form (3) with $\gamma^0 = -i\sigma_1\sigma_2$, $\gamma^1 = -i\sigma_1\sigma_3$, $e^0 = d\vartheta$, $e^1 = \sin\vartheta d\varphi$, $\omega_{01} = \cos\vartheta d\varphi$, $A_\mu dx^\mu = -\frac{n}{e}\cos\vartheta d\varphi$, i. e., it corresponds to the abovementioned quadratic form Q_2 and this is just twisted (euclidean) Dirac operator on the unit sphere and the conforming complex line bundle is the restriction of bundle ξ on the unit sphere. Again simple matrix algebra computation results in $D_n = \begin{pmatrix} D_{1n} & D_{2n} \\ -D_{2n} & -D_{1n} \end{pmatrix}$ with $D_{1n} = i(\partial_\vartheta + \frac{1}{2}\cot\vartheta)$, $D_{2n} = -\frac{1}{\sin\vartheta}(\partial_\varphi + in\cot\vartheta)$. Then it is easy to see that the equation $D_n\Phi = \lambda\Phi$ can be transformed into the one

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_n^- \\ D_n^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}\Phi_0 = \lambda\Phi_0, \Phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_+ \\ \Phi_- \end{pmatrix} , \tag{15}$$

where $D_n^\pm = D_{1n} \pm D_{2n} = i[\partial_\vartheta + (\frac{1}{2} \mp n)\cot\vartheta] \mp \frac{1}{\sin\vartheta}\partial_\varphi$, $\Phi_\pm = \Phi_1 \pm \Phi_2$. From here it follows that $D_n^- D_n^+\Phi_+ = \lambda^2\Phi_+$, $D_n^+ D_n^-\Phi_- = \lambda^2\Phi_-$ or, with employing the ansatz $\Phi_\pm = P_\pm(\vartheta)e^{-im'\varphi}$, in explicit form

$$\left[\partial_\vartheta^2 + \cot\vartheta\partial_\vartheta - \frac{m'^2 + (n \mp 1/2)^2 - 2m'(n \mp 1/2)\cos\vartheta}{\sin^2\vartheta}\right]P_\pm(\vartheta) =$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} - n^2 - \lambda^2\right)P_\pm(\vartheta) . \tag{16}$$

It is known [9] that differential operators of the left-hand side in (16) have eigenfunctions in the interval $0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi$, which are finite at $\vartheta = 0, \pi$, only for eigenvalues $-k(k+1)$, where k is positive integer or half-integer simultaneously with $m', n' = n \pm 1/2$ while the multiplicity of such an eigenvalue is equal to $2k+1$. In our case we have that $n' = n \pm 1/2$ is half-integer because the Chern number $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a result, we should put $m' = m - 1/2$ with an integer m and then $|m'| \leq k = l + 1/2$ with a positive integer l and, accordingly, $1/4 - n^2 - \lambda^2 = -k(k+1)$ which entails (denoting $\lambda = \sqrt{(l+1)^2 - n^2}$) that spectrum of D_n consists of the numbers $\pm\lambda$ with multiplicity $2k+1 = 2(l+1)$ of each one. Besides, it is clear that under the circumstances $-l \leq m \leq l+1, l \geq |n|$. This just reflects the fact that from general considerations [5,6,8] the spectrum of twisted euclidean Dirac operator on even-diemensional manifold is symmetric with respect the origin. The corresponding eigenfunctions $P_{\pm}(\vartheta) = P^k_{m'n'}(\cos\vartheta)$ of the above operators can be chosen in miscellaneous forms (see, e. g., Ref. [9]) with the orthogonality relation at n' fixed

$$\int\limits_0^\pi P^{*k}_{m'n'}(\cos\vartheta)P^{k'}_{m''n'}(\cos\vartheta)\sin\vartheta d\vartheta = \frac{2}{2k+1}\delta_{kk'}\delta_{m'm''}\,, \tag{17}$$

where (*) signifies complex conjugation. As a consequence, we come to the conclusion that spinor Φ_0 of (15) can be chosen in the form $\Phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 P^k_{m'n-1/2} \\ C_2 P^k_{m'n+1/2} \end{pmatrix} e^{-im'\varphi}$ with some constants $C_{1,2}$. Now we can employ the relations [9]

$$-\partial_\vartheta P^k_{m'n'} \pm \left(n'\cot\vartheta - \frac{m'}{\sin\vartheta}\right)P^k_{m'n'} = -i\sqrt{k(k+1) - n'(n'\pm1)}P^k_{m'n'\pm1} \tag{18}$$

holding true for functions $P^k_{m'n'}$ to establish that $C_1 = C_2 = C$ corresponds to eigenvalue λ while $C_1 = -C_2 = C$ conforms to $-\lambda$. Thus, the eigenspinors $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ of the operator D_n can be written as follows

$$\Phi_{\pm\lambda} = \frac{C}{2}\begin{pmatrix} P^k_{m'n-1/2} \pm P^k_{m'n+1/2} \\ P^k_{m'n-1/2} \mp P^k_{m'n+1/2} \end{pmatrix} e^{-im'\varphi}\,, \tag{19}$$

where the coefficient C may be defined from the normalization condition

$$\int\limits_0^\pi\int\limits_0^{2\pi}(|\Phi_1|^2 + |\Phi_2|^2)\sin\vartheta d\vartheta d\varphi = 1 \tag{20}$$

with using the relation (17) that yields $C = \sqrt{\frac{l+1}{\pi}}$. These spinors form an orthonormal basis in $L^2_2(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Finally, it should be noted that the given spinors can be expressed through the *monopole spherical harmonics* $Y^l_{mn}(\vartheta,\varphi) = P^l_{mn}(\cos\vartheta)e^{-im\varphi}$ which naturally arise when considering twisted TICs of complex scalar field [1,2,3,4] but we shall not need it here.

4. As follows from the above, when quantizing twisted TICs of spinors we can take the set of spinors

$$\psi_{\pm\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\omega}}e^{i\omega t}r^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} F_1(r,\pm\lambda)\Phi_{\pm\lambda} \\ F_2(r,\pm\lambda)\sigma_1\Phi_{\pm\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

as a basis in $L^4_2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2\ )$ and realize the procedure of quantizing, as usual, by expanding in the modes (21)

$$\psi = \sum_{\pm\lambda}\sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l+1}\int\limits_{\mu_0}^{\infty}d\omega(a^-_{\omega nlm}\psi_\lambda + b^+_{\omega nlm}\psi_{-\lambda})\,,$$

$$\overline{\psi} = \sum_{\pm\lambda}\sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l+1}\int\limits_{\mu_0}^{\infty}d\omega(a^+_{\omega nlm}\overline{\psi}_\lambda + b^-_{\omega nlm}\overline{\psi}_{-\lambda})\,, \tag{22}$$

where $\overline{\psi} = \gamma^0\psi^\dagger$ is the adjont spinor and ($\dagger$) stands for hermitian conjugation. As a result, the operators $a^{\pm}_{\omega nlm}$, $b^{\pm}_{\omega nlm}$ of (22) should be interpreted as the creation and annihilation ones for spinor particle in the gravitational field of the black hole and in the field of monopole with Chern number n. As to the functions $F_{1,2}(r,\pm\lambda)$ of (21) then in accordance with Eqs. (14) we can get the second order equations for them in the form

$$a\partial_r a\partial_r F_{1,2} + a\left[\sqrt{a}\partial_r\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\sqrt{a}}{dr} \pm \frac{\lambda}{r}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{d\sqrt{a}}{dr}\right)^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{r^2}\right]F_{1,2} = \left(a\mu_0^2 - \omega^2\right)F_{1,2}\,. \tag{23}$$

By replacing $r^* = r + r_g\ln(r/r_g - 1)$ and by going to the dimensionless quantities $x = r^*/M, y = r/M, k = \omega M$ the equations (23) can be rewritten in the Schrödinger-like equation form

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}E_{1,2} + [k^2 - (\mu_0 M)^2]E_{1,2} = V_{1,2}(x,\lambda)E_{1,2} \tag{24}$$

with $E_{1,2} = E_{1,2}(x,k,\lambda) = F_{\pm}(Mx), F_{\pm}(r^*) = F_{1,2}[r(r^*)]$ while the potentials $V_{1,2}$ are given by

$$V_{1,2}(x,\lambda) = \frac{1}{4y^4(x)} + \left[\frac{1}{y^4(x)} \mp \frac{\lambda}{y^2(x)}\sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{y(x)} + \frac{\lambda^2}{y^2(x)}}\right]\left[1 - \frac{2}{y(x)}\right] - \frac{2}{y(x)}(\mu_0 M)^2\,, \tag{25}$$

where $y(x)$ is a function reverse to $x(y) = y + 2\ln(0.5y - 1)$, so $y(x)$ is the one-to-one correspondence between $(-\infty,\infty)$ and $(2,\infty)$.

Let us for the sake of simplicity restrict ourselves to the massless spinors ($\mu_0 = 0$). Then, as can be seen, when $x \to +\infty$, $V_{1,2} \to 0$ and at $x \to -\infty$, $V_{1,2} \to 1/64$. This allows us to pose the scattering problem on the whole x-axis for Eq. (24) at $k > 0$

$$E^{+}_{1,2} \sim \begin{cases} e^{ikx} + s^{(1,2)}_{12}e^{-ikx} + \frac{1}{64k^2}, & x \to -\infty, \\ s^{(1,2)}_{11}e^{ikx}, & x \to +\infty, \end{cases}$$

$$E^{-}_{1,2} \sim \begin{cases} s^{(1,2)}_{22}e^{-ikx} + \frac{1}{64k^2}, & x \to -\infty, \\ e^{-ikx} + s^{(1,2)}_{21}e^{ikx}, & x \to +\infty \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

with S-matrices $\{s^{(1,2)}_{ij} = s^{(1,2)}_{ij}(k,\lambda)\}$. Then by virtue of (14) one can obtain the equality

$$s^{(1)}_{11}(k,\lambda) = -s^{(2)}_{11}(k,\lambda)\,. \tag{27}$$

Having obtained these relations, one can speak about the Hawking radiation process for any TIC of spinor field on black holes. Actually, one can notice that Eq. (2) corresponds to the lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i}{2}|g|^{1/2}\left[\overline{\psi}\gamma^\mu\nabla_\mu\psi - (\nabla_\mu\overline{\psi})\gamma^\mu\psi - \mu_0\overline{\psi}\psi\right]\,, \tag{28}$$

and one can use the energy-momentum tensor for TIC with the Chern number n conforming to the lagrangian (28)

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4}\left[\overline{\psi}\gamma_\mu\nabla_\nu\psi + \overline{\psi}\gamma_\nu\nabla_\mu\psi - (\nabla_\mu\overline{\psi})\gamma_\nu\psi - (\nabla_\nu\overline{\psi})\gamma_\mu\psi\right]\,, \tag{29}$$

to get, according to the standard prescription (see, e. g., Ref. [10]) with employing (20) and (27), the luminosity $L(n)$ with respect to the Hawking radiation for TIC with the Chern number n (in usual units)

$$L(n) = \lim_{r\to\infty}\int\limits_{S^2} <0|T_{tr}|0> d\sigma = A\sum_{\pm\lambda}\sum_{l=|n|}^{\infty}2(l+1)\int\limits_0^\infty \frac{|s^{(1)}_{11}(k,\lambda)|^2}{e^{8\pi k}+1}dk \tag{30}$$

with the vacuum expectation value $<0|T_{tr}|0>$ and the surface element $d\sigma = r^2\sin\vartheta d\vartheta\wedge d\varphi$ while $A = \frac{c^5}{GM}\left(\frac{c\hbar}{G}\right)^{1/2} \approx 0.125728\cdot 10^{55}\,\mathrm{erg}\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}\cdot M^{-1}$ (M in g).

We can interpret $L(n)$ as an additional contribution to the Hawking radiation due to the additional spinor particles leaving black hole because of the interaction with monopoles. Under this situation, for the total luminosity L of black hole with respect to the Hawking radiation concerning the spinor field to be obtained, one should sum up over all n, i. e.

$$L = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n) = L(0) + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L(n)\,, \tag{31}$$

since $L(-n) = L(n)$.

As a result, we can expect marked increase of Hawking radiation from black holes for spinor particles. But for to get an exact value of this increase one should apply numerical methods, so long as the scattering problem for general equation (24) does not admit any exact solution and is complicated enough for consideration — the potentials $V_{1,2}(x,\lambda)$ of (25) are given in an implicit form. One can remark that, for instance, the similar increase for complex scalar field can amount to 17 % of total (summed up over all the TICs) luminosity [2].

5. It is clear that the most general case is the Kerr-Newman black hole one but the equations here will be more complicated so that we shall consider them elsewhere.

The work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant No. 98-02-18380-a) and by GRACENAS (grant No. 6-18-1997).

---

[1] Yu. P. Goncharov and J. V. Yarevskaya, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A9**, 3175 (1994); **A10**, 1813 (1995); Yu. P. Goncharov, Nucl. Phys. **B460**, 167 (1996).

[2] Yu. P. Goncharov and N. E. Firsova, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D5**, 419 (1996); Nucl. Phys. **B486**, 371 (1997).

[3] Yu. P. Goncharov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12**, 3347 (1997); Pis'ma v ZhETF **67**, 1021 (1998); Mod. Phys. Lett. **A13**, 1495 (1998).

[4] Yu. P. Goncharov, Phys. Lett. **B398**, 32 (1997); Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D8**, 123 (1999).

[5] *Géométrie Riemannian en Dimension 4*, Seminaire Arthur Besse, Cedic/Fernand Nathan, Paris, 1981.

[6] H. B. Lawson, Jr. and M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton U. P., Princeton, 1989.

[7] R. Geroch, J. Math. Phys. **9**, 1739 (1968); C. J. Isham, Proc. R. Soc. London, **A364**, 591 (1978).

[8] A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[9] N. Ya. Vilenkin, *Special Functions and Theory of Group Representations*, Nauka, Moscow, 1991.

[10] D. V. Gal'tsov, *Particles and Fields in the Vicinity of Black Holes*, Moscow University Press, Moscow, 1986.